United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,008	03/03/2004	Klemens Degen	113642-050	4255	
43793 7590 06/20/2007 EVEREST INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP P. O. BOX 708			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			RODRIGUEZ, RUTH C		
NORTHBROOK, IL 60065			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3677			
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/792,008	DEGEN, KLEMENS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruth C. Rodriguez	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr e, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	March 2007.	•				
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	<u> </u>					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4,6-12 and 16 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 13 and 15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 14 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2004 is/are:	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		,				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applic prity documents have been rece nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				

Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	ary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivera (US 6,389,625 B1) in view of Wolf et al. (US 5,791,210).

Rivera discloses a clamp comprising first and second clamping jaws (200), a first actuating section (44) connected to the first clamping jaw, a second actuating section (54) connected to the second clamping jaw and a hook (300) pivotally mounting to one of the first an second actuating section. The first and second clamping jaws extend in a first reference direction (Figs. 1-22). The first and second actuating sections extend in a second reference direction with is generally perpendicular to the first reference direction (when being pivoted to the in placed from a folded position). The hook has pivoted-in position between inner sides of the actuating sections (hook has to be pivoted-in position between inner sides of the actuating sections when in the position shown in Figure 22). Rivera fails to disclose that the first and second jaws are biased toward each other. However, Wolf teaches a clamp (2) comprising first and second clamping jaws (6) biased towards each other by a spring 50), a first actuating section (4)

Application/Control Number: 10/792,008 Page 3

Art Unit: 3677

connected to the first clamping jaw and a second actuating section (4) connected to the second clamping jaw. The first and second clamping jaws extend in a first reference direction (Figs. 1-22). The actuating sections have ribs that receive the ends of the spring to keep the spring in place. The spring allows clamping of a workpiece. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the first and second jaws are biased toward each other as taught by Wolf in the clamp disclosed by Rivera. Doing so, allows hands-free clamping of a workpiece while working on the workpiece and keeping the spring in placed by providing ribs that receive the ends of the spring to keep the spring in place.

The same combination used to reject claim 13 serves to reject all the limitations of claim 15.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-12 and 16 are allowed.
- 4. Claim 14 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 3677

5. Applicant's arguments filed 12 May 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 13 and 15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Harrison (US 1,792,837), Bell (US 3,654,755), Dragan (US 4,106,374), Hersker (US 4,809,399), Farber (US 4,907,477), Rittmann et al. (US 4,934,222), Cedargreen et al. (US 4,965,958), Eggert et al. (US D 383,294), Chen (US 5,876,026), Shui-Shang (US 6,185,795 B1) and Veltz et al. (US 6,470,522 B2) are cited to show state of the art with respect to clamps that have some of the features claimed by the current application.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth C. Rodriguez whose telephone number is (571) 272-7070. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 07:15 - 15:45.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J. J. Swann can be reached on (571) 272-7075.

Submissions of your responses by facsimile transmission are encouraged. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,008

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-6640.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Ruth C. Rodriguez Patent Examiner Art Unit 3677

Page 5

rcr May 14, 2007

> **Katherine Mitchell Primary** Examiner